# Sharp estimates for conditionally centred moments and for compact operators on *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces

### Eugene Shargorodsky\* and Teo Sharia\*\*

\* King's College London and Technische Universität Dresden \*\* Royal Holloway, University of London Question from the theory of Hardy spaces / Toeplitz operators: what is the norm of the backward shift operator?

Equivalently,

what is the norm of the operator

$$f \longmapsto f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) d\theta$$

on the Hardy space  $H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ ? Still unknown for  $p \neq 2$ !



What is the norm of the operator

$$f \longmapsto f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) d\theta$$

on  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ? The (not widely!) known answer has led to the research presented here.

## Estimates for centred moments

### Notation:

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  – probability space

 $\xi$  – (real valued) random variable (r.v.)

 $\mathbf{E}\xi := \int_{\Omega} \xi(\omega) \, d\mathbf{P}(\omega) - \text{expectation of } \xi,$ 

We will always assume that  $\Omega$  consists of more than one element and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is nontrivial, i.e.  $\mathcal{F} \neq \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

### **Question:**

What is the optimal constant  $c_p = c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  in the following estimate?

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\boldsymbol{p}} &= (\mathbf{E}|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{\boldsymbol{p}})^{1/\boldsymbol{p}} \leq c_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left(\mathbf{E}|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)^{1/\boldsymbol{p}} = c_{\boldsymbol{p}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\boldsymbol{p}}, \quad 1 \leq \boldsymbol{p} < \infty \\ \|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\infty} &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\boldsymbol{\xi}(\omega) - \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \leq c_{\infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\boldsymbol{\xi}(\omega)| = c_{\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

## Preliminary analysis

**Textbook case:**  $c_2 = 1$ . Indeed,

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi|^2 = \mathbf{E}(\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi)^2 = \mathbf{E}\xi^2 - (\mathbf{E}\xi)^2 \le \mathbf{E}\xi^2.$$

If  $\xi$  is not a constant r.v., then  $\eta := \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi \neq 0$ , but  $\mathbf{E}\eta = 0$ . Hence  $\|\eta - \mathbf{E}\eta\|_{p} = \|\eta\|_{p}$ , and  $c_{p} \ge 1$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

Hölder's inequality  $\implies |\mathbf{E}\xi| \le \mathbf{E}|\xi| = \|\xi\|_1 \le \|\xi\|_p$ . Hence

$$\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_{p} \le \|\xi\|_{p} + \|\mathbf{E}\xi\|_{p} = \|\xi\|_{p} + |\mathbf{E}\xi| \le 2\|\xi\|_{p}.$$

So,  $c_p \leq 2$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

Suppose that for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}(A) = \alpha$ . Let  $\xi := \mathbb{1}_A$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 1) = \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 0) = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{E}|\xi| = \mathbf{E}\xi = \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi| = 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)$ , and  $\frac{\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_1}{\|\xi\|_1} = 2(1 - \alpha)$ .

Sending  $\alpha$  to 0, one concludes that  $c_1 = 2$ .

Similarly, if  $\xi := \mathbb{1}_A - \mathbb{1}_{\Omega \setminus A}$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 1) = \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = -1) = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{E}\xi = 2\alpha - 1$ ,  $\|\xi\|_{\infty} = 1$ , and  $\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_{\infty} = \max\{2\alpha, 2(1 - \alpha)\}$ . Sending  $\alpha$  to 1 or to 0, one concludes that  $c_{\infty} = 2$ .  $c_1=2=c_\infty, \qquad c_2=1, \qquad 1\leq c_p\leq 2 \quad \text{for all} \quad p\in(1,\infty),$ 

where the first equality holds if there are  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with arbitrarily small positive  $\mathbf{P}(A)$ , e.g., if **P** is nonatomic.

 $c_{\rho}$  is the norm of the operator  $\mathbf{C}: L^{\rho}(\Omega, \mathbf{P}) \rightarrow L^{\rho}(\Omega, \mathbf{P})$ ,

$$\xi \mapsto \mathbf{C}\xi := \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi.$$

Applying the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem to this operator, one deduces from the above equalities that

$$c_{oldsymbol{
ho}} \leq 2^{\left|1-rac{2}{
ho}
ight|}, \quad 1 < oldsymbol{
ho} < \infty$$

(S. Rolewicz, 1990).

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the standard Lebesgue measure on [0, 1] and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of [0, 1]. (Equivalently, one can assume that  $\Omega$  is a complete separable metric space,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Omega$ , and **P** is nonatomic.)

C. Franchetti (1990):

$$c_p = c_p([0, 1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda) = \max_{0 < \alpha < 1} C_p(\alpha) =: C_p \text{ for all } 1 < p < \infty,$$

where

$$C_{p}(\alpha) := \left(\alpha^{p-1} + (1-\alpha)^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\begin{split} & C_1 := \lim_{p \to 1+0} C_p = 2 = c_1, \qquad C_{\infty} := \lim_{p \to \infty} C_p = 2 = c_{\infty}, \\ & C_2 = 1 = c_2, \qquad C_{p'} = C_p \quad \text{for} \quad p' = \frac{p}{p-1}, \qquad C_p \le 2^{\left|1 - \frac{2}{p}\right|} \end{split}$$

Explicit values:

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left( 17 + 7\sqrt{7} \right)^{1/3} = 1.0957...,$$
$$C_4 = \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} \right)^{1/4} = 1.21156...$$

T.F. Móri (2009)

$$c_{
ho}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \leq C_{
ho}$$
 (\*)

holds for all probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ .

A simple calculation shows that  $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \ge C_p$  if there exist  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}(A) = \alpha_p$ , where  $\alpha_p$  is a point at which  $C_p(\alpha)$  attains its global maximum. Obviously, this is satisfied if  $\mathbf{P}$  is nonatomic.

Móri's proof of (\*) relies on the observation that every zero mean probability distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a mixture of distributions concentrated on two points and having zero mean. This allows one to reduce the proof of (\*) to showing that

$$rac{\left(\mathsf{E}|arepsilon-\mathsf{E}arepsilon|^{
ho}
ight)^{1/
ho}}{\left(\mathsf{E}|arepsilon|^{
ho}
ight)^{1/
ho}}\leq C_{
ho},$$

holds for every r.v.  $\xi$  that takes only two values. The latter is an elementary although not an entirely trivial calculation.

G. Lewicki and L. Skrzypek (2016)

 $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and **P** is the uniform distribution:  $\mathbf{P}(k) = \frac{1}{n}, k = 1, \dots, n$ .

For n = 3, 4 and for all sufficiently large n, one has

$$C_{p} = \max\left\{C_{p}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right), C_{p}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{n}\right)\right\},$$
 (\*\*)

where

$$k_1 := \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: \ \frac{k}{n} \le \alpha_p\right\}, \quad k_2 := \min\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: \ \alpha_p \le \frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{2}\right\},$$

and  $\alpha_p \in (0, 1/6)$  is the unique point at which  $C_p(\alpha)$  attains its global maximum in [0, 1/2].

Lewicki and Skrzypek showed that  $c_p$  in (\*\*) tends to Franchetti's  $c_p$  as  $n \to \infty$  and obtained an alternative proof of Franchetti's result.

 $1 \leq c_{\rho}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \leq C_{\rho}$  for all probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ .

For every  $c \in [1, C_p]$ , there exist a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ such that  $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) = c$ . Indeed, let  $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(-1) = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(1) = \alpha$ . Then  $c_p = C_p(\alpha)$ , and one can choose  $\alpha$  in such a way that  $c_p = c$ .

All the above results remain true for complex valued random variables.

Question: What is the norm of the operator

$$L^{p}(\mathbb{T}) \ni f \mapsto A_{n}f := (f - n \text{-th Fejér mean of } f) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$$
?

We know that

$$1 \leq \|A_n\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq 2^{\left|1-\frac{2}{p}\right|}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

but this is unlikely to be sharp.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{G})$  be the corresponding conditional expectation operator.

 $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$  is a closed linear subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and  $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} : L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \to L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$  is the orthogonal projection.

### Example

Let  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^N, N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a measurable partition of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega_j \in \mathcal{F}, \qquad \Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Omega_j, \qquad \Omega_j \cap \Omega_k = \emptyset, \ j \neq k,$$

and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^N$ .

Then

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_n)} \int_{\Omega_n} \xi \, d\mathbf{P} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n}.$$

 $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}: L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \to L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$  is a contractive projection that preserves constants, i.e.

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \xi \right\|_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} &\leq \| \xi \|_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \quad \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \left( \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \xi \right) = \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \xi \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in L^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}), \\ \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbbm{1} &= \mathbbm{1}, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbb{1}(\omega) = 1$  a.s.

Every contractive projection on  $L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$  that preserves constants is the conditional expectation operator  $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$  for a certain sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  (T. Ando, 1966).

#### Question:

What is the optimal constant  $c_p = c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$  in the following estimate?

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{p}} \le c_{\boldsymbol{p}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\boldsymbol{p}}, \quad 1 \le \boldsymbol{p} \le \infty.$$
 (1)

In other words, what is the norm of the operator  $I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$ ,

$$c_{\rho}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) = \left\| I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \to L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})},$$

where I is the identity operator?

## Preliminary analysis

$$\left\|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\| = 1 \implies \left\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\| \le 2.$$

If  $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{F}$ , then there exists a r.v.  $\xi$  such that  $\eta := \xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \xi \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \eta = \mathbf{0}$ , one has  $\|\eta - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \eta\|_{p} = \|\eta\|_{p}$ , and  $\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\| \ge 1$ for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

For p = 2, it follows from

$$\begin{split} \textbf{E}|\xi-\textbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi|^2 &= \textbf{E}|\xi|^2-\textbf{E}|\textbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi|^2 \leq \textbf{E}|\xi|^2 \\ \text{that} \ \boxed{\textbf{C}_2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\textbf{P})=1.} \end{split}$$

### $c_{p}(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{P})\leq C_{p}, \quad 1\leq p\leq\infty.$

**Remark.** In general, the inequality  $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) \leq c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  does not hold.

Let  $1 , <math>\alpha_p \in (0, 1)$  be a point at which  $C_p(\alpha)$  attains its maximum,  $\Omega = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(-1) = \tau(1 - \alpha_p)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(1) = \tau \alpha_p$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(0) = 1 - \tau$ ,  $0 < \tau < 1$ , and

$$\mathcal{G} = \Big\{ \emptyset, \{\mathbf{0}\}, \{-1, 1\}, \Omega \Big\}.$$

Then

$$egin{aligned} & m{c}_{m{
ho}}(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P}) \leq 1 + au^{1/
ho} + au^{1-1/
ho} o 1 & ext{as} \quad au o 0, \ & m{c}_{m{
ho}}(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{P}) = m{C}_{m{
ho}}. \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem

For every  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and every  $c \in [1, C_p]$ , there exists a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$  such that

 $c_{p}([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G},\lambda) = c.$ 

**Remark.** If a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  is much smaller than  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $c_p([0, 1], \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{G}, \lambda) = C_p$ . More precisely, if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  is a separable nonatomic probability space and there exists a r.v.  $\xi$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ , which is independent of a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and has a nontrivial Gaussian distribution, then  $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) \geq C_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (A. Dorogovtsev and M. Popov, 2008 + C. Franchetti, 1992).

For a Banach space X, let  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  denote the set of compact linear operators on X.

#### Definition

A Banach space *X* is said to have the bounded compact approximation property (BCAP) if there exists a constant  $M \in (0, +\infty)$  such that given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any finite set  $F \subset X$ , there exists an operator  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that

$$\|I - T\| \le M$$
 and  $\|x - Tx\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in F$ .

We denote by M(X) the infimum of the constants M for which the above conditions are satisfied.

Many authors have the condition  $||T|| \le M$  in place of  $||I - T|| \le M$  in the definition of BCAP and of related approximation properties. Let m(X) be the infimum of the constants M for which the conditions in this alternative definition of BCAP are satisfied. It is clear that

$$m(X)-1 \leq M(X) \leq m(X)+1.$$

If one is not interested in sharp constants, then it usually does not matter whether one knows m(X) or M(X).

It is well known that  $m(L^{p}([0, 1])) = 1, 1 \le p < \infty$ . The next result answers the question about the value of  $M(L^{p}([0, 1]))$ .

#### Theorem

$$M(L^{p}([0,1])) = C_{p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

The estimate

$$M(L^p([0,1])) \leq C_p$$

is proved by constructing a suitable conditional expectation operator  $T = \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$  and using the estimate  $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) \leq C_p$ .

The estimate

$$M(L^p([0,1])) \geq C_p$$

is a corollary of the following result.

#### Theorem

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p)$ . Then

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} + \inf_{\|u\|_{L^p} = 1} \|(I - T)u\|_{L^p} \ge C_p.$$

### Theorem

Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p)$ , and suppose I - T is not invertible (i.e. 1 is an eigenvalue of *T*). Then

$$\|I-T\|_{L^p\to L^p}\geq C_p.$$

The next result shows how an arbitrary distribution with mean zero can be expressed as a mixture of centered two-point distributions. For any  $a \leq 0 \leq b$ , let  $\nu_{a,b}$  denote the unique probability measure on  $\{a, b\}$  with mean zero. Clearly,  $\nu_{a,b} = \delta_0$  when ab = 0; otherwise,

$$\nu_{a,b} = \frac{b\delta_a - a\delta_b}{b - a}, \quad a < 0 < b.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\nu$  is a probability kernel from  $\mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . For mappings between two measure spaces, measurability is defined in terms of the  $\sigma$ -fields generated by all evaluation maps  $\pi_B : \mu \mapsto \mu B$ , where B is an arbitrary set in the underlying  $\sigma$ -field.

**Lemma 12.4** (randomization) For any distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with mean zero, there exists a distribution  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\mu = \int \mu^* (dx \, dy) \nu_{x,y}$ . Here we may choose  $\mu^*$  to be a measurable function of  $\mu$ .

Proof (Chung): Let  $\mu_{\pm}$  denote the restrictions of  $\mu$  to  $\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \setminus \{0\}$ , define  $l(x) \equiv x$ , and put  $c = \int ld\mu_{+} = -\int ld\mu_{-}$ . For any measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$  with f(0) = 0, we get

$$c\int f d\mu = \int l d\mu_{+} \int f d\mu_{-} - \int l d\mu_{-} \int f d\mu_{+}$$
$$= \int \int (y-x)\mu_{-}(dx)\mu_{+}(dy) \int f d\nu_{x,y},$$

and so we may take

$$\mu^*(dx\,dy) = \mu\{0\}\delta_{0,0}(dx\,dy) + c^{-1}(y-x)\mu_-(dx)\mu_+(dy).$$

The measurability of the mapping  $\mu \mapsto \mu^*$  is clear by a monotone class argument if we note that  $\mu^*(A \times B)$  is a measurable function of  $\mu$  for arbitrary  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .